ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

TIME AND PLACE:

Monday, July 25, 2005, 6:30 P.M. and

Thursday, July 28, 2005, 6:30 P.M. (if needed)

Office of Zoning Hearing Room 441 4th Street, N.W., Suite 220-South

Washington, D.C. 20001

FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING THE FOLLOWING:

Case No. 04-33 (Text Amendments to Require the Provision of Affordable Housing – Inclusionary Zoning)

THIS CASE IS OF INTEREST TO ALL ANCS

This proceeding was initiated through the filing of a Petition by the Campaign for Mandatory Inclusionary Zoning ("CMIZ"). The Petition requested the Zoning Commission to require new housing projects with ten or more units to set aside a certain percentage of units at prices affordable to households within a specified income range. The requirement would not apply to all such projects, but only 1) those mapped in Inclusionary Zoning Overlay areas, which the Petition also sought to create, and 2) those properties that sought density increases through variances or Planned Unit Developments. Projects subject to the program would receive bonus density and be able to request waivers from compliance

In its report to the Commission, the Office of Planning (OP) recommended advertising the concepts presented by the Petitioner, but also recommended that the Commission advertise a somewhat different type of mandatory inclusionary zoning program, as well as an incentivebased approach. The principal difference between the two mandatory programs is that, under CMIZ's proposal, the number of units that must be set aside in a particular development is determined by applying a percentage to the number of units that are proposed to be built as a matter of right. Bonus density of up to 20% would be made available to accommodate the inclusion of the affordable units and additional market rate units as well. In contrast, OP's requirement is based upon on a proportion that expresses the relationship between the amount of bonus gross floor area that must be occupied by affordable units versus the amount that may be sold or rented at market rate. Both programs recognize that the Height Act and Comprehensive Plan place limitations on the amount of bonus density that is available. The Petitioner and OP, therefore, only proposed to map areas where the Comprehensive Plan supports increased density, particularly areas that have been targeted for increased development and are transit-accessible. In addition, CMIZ proposed to phase in the requirement in the Downtown Development Overlay, where residential uses may already exceed that matter of right density. A map illustrating the likely geographic reach of the program based on these constraints was provided by OP as NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING Z.C. CASE NO. 04-33 PAGE 2

Attachment 1 to its report. That report and all its attachments are available at the Office of Zoning or at http://planning.dc.gov.

At its Public Meeting of May 9, 2005, the Zoning Commission decided not to advertise OP's incentive-based program and to defer consideration of the proposed map amendment until it had considered the merits of the mandatory programs described in the Petition and the OP report. Because this hearing will not consider the question of where the program's requirements should be imposed, no testimony will be permitted concerning either the locations where the proposed inclusionary zoning requirements should apply or the impact (whether favorable or adverse) of either proposal on a particular location.

The Commission is also not advertising those aspects of the Petition that recommended how the program should be administered and enforced. The Petition requested that the Commission direct the Mayor to, among other things, determine a project's compliance with the program, inform the public about the program, review requests and determine the eligibility of persons seeking housing under the program, establish maximum rents and purchase prices, deny all permits to non-complying property owners, and to place liens on a project's market rate units for each affordable unit permitted to be accounted for off-site, until a certificate of occupancy for the affordable unit is issued. The Zoning Commission has no authority to direct the Mayor to undertake these or any other functions. To the extent the Mayor does not already possess such authority; the Council of the District of Columbia will need to bestow it. Until the Council does so, the authority to "administer and enforce" this and all other zoning regulations will continue to reside in Department of Consumer and Regulatory affairs pursuant to Part II, E of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1982, effective July 3, 1982 D.C. Official Code § 1-15-07 (2001) and Part III, B, 1 of Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1983, effective March 31, 1983 D.C. Official Code § 1-15-9).

In addition, because this program will be a zoning regulation, any request to waive its requirements, which would involve the exercise of discretion, must be heard and decided by the Board of Zoning Adjustment or the Commission. The requirement that such proceedings involve full evidentiary hearings, parties, and other procedural safeguards results from the requirements of Section 10 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, approved October 21, 1968 (82 Stat. 1206; D.C. Code § 2-509). Any relaxation of these requirements must also come from the Council.

The Commission wishes the hearing to focus solely on the merits of what is being proposed, rather than how it has been expressed by the Petitioner. For this reason, the Commission is not advertising the Petitioner's text, but instead is summarizing the concepts presented. Other than the areas addressed above, it is the intent of the Commission that all concepts presented in the text are within the scope of the hearing. Therefore, if the chart below fails to describe an element of the Petitioner's proposal that appears in its text, that element should be deemed to be part of this advertisement.

Finally, the Commission granted the Petitioner's request to submit supplemental materials no later than 20 days prior to the hearing date. These materials will be available for public inspection at the Office of Zoning.

For the purposes of the public hearing, the following proposals are presented for public comment:

TOPIC	CMIZ PROPOSAL	OP PROPOSAL
PROJECT	10 or more newly constructed or	The same.
THRESHOLD SIZE	substantially rehabilitated units,	
	with provisions to include phased	
	and contiguous development.	
PROJECTS	Properties mapped within an	Same, except does not apply to variance
MEETING	Inclusionary Zoning Overlay	applications.
THRESHHOLD	District or Properties for which	
THAT ARE	applications have been filed for	
SUBJECT TO	variances or planned unit	
PROGRAM	developments that seek additional	
REQUIREMENTS	gross floor area. Density increases	
	achieved solely through PUD-	
	related map amendments would not	
	trigger the requirement.	
AFFORDABLE	For all properties subject to the	For properties in the Overlay: the
SET-ASIDES	program, a percentage of the total	proportion of bonus gross floor area that
	number of residential units that can	must be occupied by affordable units
	be built under matter of right	and the amount that may be occupied by
	zoning as follows:	Market rate units, as follows:
	15% of Residential Units for Low-	High-Rise Rental: 1:1
	Rise Buildings.	High-Rise For-Sale: Between 1:1 and
	12% of Residential Units for Mid-	1:1.5
	Rise and High-Rise Buildings	Low-Rise: 3:2
	7.5% of Residential Units for	
	Substantial Rehabilitation Projects	Case by case determination for PUDs.
BONUS DENSITY	Up to 20% increase over base	Same as well as possible relaxation of
TO	density, height & area; provided	some additional zoning restrictions.
ACCOMMODATE	that height must be consistent with	
AFFORDABLE	Height Act and density not	
AND ADDITIONAL	inconsistent with the District	
MARKET RATE	Elements of the Comprehensive	
UNITS	Plan.	
ELIGIBLE	50% of set-aside @ 80% AMI*	Rental Low-Rise: 50% of set-aside @
INCOMES AND	50% of set-aside @ 50% AMI	50% AMI; 50% of set-aside at 70%
PERCENT OF SET		AMI
ASIDE THAT	*AMI means the Area Median	
MUST BE	Income for a household in the	Rental Mid & High-Rise: 50% of set-
DEVOTED TO	Washington Metropolitan Area as	aside @ 70% AMI; 50% of set-aside at
THAT	determined annually by the US	80% AMI

POPULATION	Department of Housing and Urban	
	Development	For-Sale: Up to 80% AMI
DEVELOPMENT	Unit Types: No less than same	Unit Types: Reflect range and types in
STANDARDS	average # of bedrooms as market-	the overall project.
	rate units; higher percentage of IZ	Units Sizes: Average SF of IZ units
	units permitted with more	could be less than for market rate units,
	bedrooms than market rate.	as long as prescribed minimum
	<u>Unit Sizes</u> :	standards (see CMIZ Proposal) were
	Studio 450 sf,	met
	1 BR 600 sf,	<u>Unit Distribution:</u> Evenly distributed
	2 BR 850 sf,	vertically and horizontally throughout
	3 BR 1050 sf,	all buildings and/or phases of project
	4 BR 1120 sf	Exterior Finishes: Same as market rate
	<u>Unit Distribution</u> : Reasonably	units
	disbursed throughout project	Interior Finishes and Appliances:
	Exterior Finishes: Same as market	Requires additional study.
	Interior Finishes: May vary	
PRICING	Rents and prices to based on HUD-	Initial housing cost determined through
METHODOLOGY	established AMI so units are	Low Income Housing Tax Credit
	affordable to low and moderate	methodology, with particular
	income households, not to exceed	consideration given to the impact of
	30% gross income	condo fees on affordability.
CONTROL	Rental: 50 years	Rental: TBD, between 30 and 40 years
PERIOD (I.E. THE	Ownership: 20 years	Ownership: OP suggests a range
PERIOD DURING	Renewable for 20 years when sold	Between 10 and 20 years, renewable if
WHICH A UNIT	in less than 20 years	sold during control period.
MAY ONLY BE		
RENTED AND		
SOLD IN		
ACCORDANCE		
WITH THE IZ		
RESTRICTIONS).		

RELIEF FROM REQUIREMENTS	Partial Relief: BZA can grant hardship variance (e.g., high, nonseverable condo fees; historic preservation; etc.) If Hardship Found, Requirement Can be Met By: Off-Site Units: similar in size/number as on-site Buyout Contribution to a fund designated by the Council of an amount equal to: Rental: 20 yrs of the market rent for the units that would have been set aside minus affordable rent For-Sale: market price for the units that would have been set aside minus affordable price	To the maximum extent possible, permit non-discretionary administrative waivers based upon objective criteria so as to minimize need to go through a BZA-type process.
	Full Relief: BZA determines that compliance would deny an owner economically viable use of his or her land Caveat: Failure of BZA to approve parking relief is not grounds for relief from IZ	
ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENT FOR PERSONS SEEKING HOUSING MADE AVAILABLE THROUGH THE PROGRAM	Priorities: - 1 st priority to DC residents - 2 nd priority to those employed in DC - Must Be Primary residence - Must have not owned home in DC for past 5 years Financial Status: Mortgage or rental credit qualifiable Public Purchase Option: DCHA & non-profit housing providers have right of 1 st refusal for 40% of affordable units, and for purchase of units sold before 20 yr control ends	Priorities: - 1 st priority to DC residents - 2 nd priority to those employed in DC - Must Be Primary residence - Must have not owned home in DC for past 5 years Financial Status: Mortgage or rental credit qualifiable Public Purchase Option: DC or non-profit housing provider should have 1st refusal for percent of IZ units. Details TBD
CONTINUING RENTER/OWNER ELIGIBILITY	Rental: Annual income- certification. Must move at lease- end if income exceeds guidelines	Rental: Annual income-certification. Eligibility if income exceeds guidelines to be determined.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING Z.C. CASE NO. 04-33 PAGE 6

unless landlord sets aside an existing market rate unit to replace the affordable unit lost.

For-Sale: Primary residence Income may increase Both: (Sub) Lease w/mayoral permission.

For-Sale: Must be primary residence. Income may increase Both: (Sub-lease only with permission.

This public hearing will be conducted in accordance with the rulemaking case provisions of the Zoning Regulations, 11 DCMR § 3021. The Commission will impose time limits on the testimony presented to it at the public hearing.

All individuals, organizations, or associations wishing to testify in this case should file their intention to testify in writing. Written statements, in lieu of personal appearances or oral presentations, may be submitted for inclusion in the record.

Information responsive to this notice should be forwarded to the Director, Office of Zoning, Suite 210, 441 4th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, YOU MAY CONTACT THE OFFICE OF ZONING AT (202) 727-6311.

CAROL J. MITTEN, ANTHONY J. HOOD, GREGORY JEFFRIES, JOHN G. PARSONS, AND KEVIN HILDEBRAND, ZONING COMMISSION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, BY JERRILY R. KRESS, FAIA, DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF ZONING.